

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,336 01/14/2002	Arie Sheffer	01/22377	6702	
7590 06/23/2003				
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.		EXAMINER		
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207		PIERCE, JEREMY R		
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY				
ARLINGTON, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1771		
		DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/043,336	SHEFFER, ARIE	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeremy R. Pierce	1.77	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
- Extensi after SI - If the pi - If NO pi - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the maximum start of the maximum start o	IV. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	e timely filed days will be considered timely.	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	2 May 2003 .		
2a) 🗌	TI	This action is non-final.		
Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo nof Claims	wance except for formal matters, er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the ments is , 453 O.G. 213.	
	laim(s) <u>1-99</u> is/are pending in the applicati			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14,16,17,22-29</u> a	and 48-99 is/are withdrawn from c	Onsideration	
5)□ Cl	laim(s) is/are allowed.		onoideration.	
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-7,15,18-21 and 30-47</u> is/are rejec	ted.		
	aim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)☐ Cl Application	aim(s) are subject to restriction and Papers	or election requirement.		
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the Examin	nor		
10)□ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	ented or hV objected to house a		
. А	pplicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) he hold in showers a	aminer.	
11) 🗌 The	proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappr	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	oved by the Examiner.	
12)□ The	oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	N Driority under 35 H S C & 440/a	-) (-) - (0	
a) <u></u> □ A	ll b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Priority and 00 0.0.0. 9 119(8	a)-(a) or (t).	
1.[_	ts have been received		
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3.[Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been asset	on No	
* See t	the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ad	
14)LJ ACKN	owledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/e	e) (to a provisional analisation)	
۵, 🗀	The translation of the foreign language pro owledgment is made of a claim for domest	Wisianal analisation been	a contract of the contract of	
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
7-326 (Rev. 04-0	343	tion Summary	D	

Application/Control Number: 10/043,336

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). In addition to claims 1-7, 15, and 30-47 specified by the Applicant as being elected, the Examiner will also examine claims 18-22 as being generic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 15, 20, 21, 30, 31, and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giesemann (U.S. Patent No. 5,431,996).

Giesemann discloses a composite article formed from nonwoven natural cellulosic materials coated with a fire resistant water glass (column 2, lines 13-47). With regard to claim 7, Giesemann discloses using recyclable material (column 1, line 63 and Example 5). With regard to claims 20 and 21, Giesemann discloses the thickness of the fibrous material as between 0.5 and 1 mm (column 2, line 23). With regard to claims 31

Application/Control Number: 10/043,336 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

and 33-36, Giesemann discloses a water soluble fire retardant present in the coating an amount of 40 percent by weight (Example 1).

4. Claims 1-6, 15, and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daigle et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,961,110).

Daigle et al. disclose a fibrous organic product that is impregnated with a fire-retardant material (Abstract). With regard to claims 4-6, cotton and rayon are possible cellulosic fibers (column 3, lines 7-20). With regard to claim 15, the fibers may be nonwoven (column 3, line 12). With regard to claims 31-36, the coating may contain tetrakis hydroxymethyl phosphonium chloride (Table 1).

5. Claims 1-6, 15, and 18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stanislawczyk.

Stanislawczyk disclose a nonwoven bonded together with an acrylate polymer (Abstract). With regard to claims 3-6, the fibers may be cotton, cellulosic, or rayon (column 3, lines 27-30). With regard to claims 18-20, the thickness of the nonwoven may be from 1 mm to 25 cm, depending on the desired end use (column 1, lines 25-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,336

^ Art Unit: 1771

7. Claims 37-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giesemann.

Giesemann does not disclose the amount of weight increase brought about by the addition of the coating. Adjusting the amount of coating on the fabric would be changing a result effective variable. The flammability and moldability of the composite would be properties that are affected by altering the amount of coating on the fabric. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to vary the amount of coating in the composite of Giesemann in order to adjust the stiffness and flammability of the material so that the weight increase falls within the claimed ranges, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeremy R. Pierce

Examiner Art Unit 1771

June 17, 2003

ELIZABETH M. COLE